Protocol: Is the total score of the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression associated with suicidality? A systematic review of observational studies

Janus Christian Jakobsen<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Erik Simonsen<sup>1</sup>, Kirsten Buch Rasmussen, Christian Gluud<sup>2</sup>

- 1: Psychiatric Research Unit, Copenhagen University Hospital and Region Zealand, Roskilde, Denmark
- 2: Copenhagen Trial Unit, Centre for Clinical Intervention Research, Department 3344 Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark
- \*: corresponding author.

### Correspondence:

Unit Consultant Janus Jakobsen, M.D., Ph.D. student

Psychiatric Research Unit, Copenhagen University Hospital, Region Zealand, Denmark

BorupsAlle 8, 3.floor, DK-2200 Copenhagen, Denmark

e-mail: janusjakobsen@mac.com

# **Background**

During 40 years the 17-item Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HDRS) [1] has been the gold standard to quantify depressive symptoms in clinical trials [2], and systematic reviews have shown that the vast majority of trials assessing the effects of intervention for depression primarily use a total score on the HDRS as an outcome measure [3-6].

A scale sensitive to measure the severity of depressive symptoms should be able to differentiate between depressive patients with and without suicidal tendencies. However, we identified no relevant systematic review with meta-analysis examining if a total score on the HDRS associate to suicidality.

## **Methods**

We plan to conduct a systematic review of observational studies involving meta-analysis, to answer the question: is the total score on the HDRS associated with suicide attempts and suicides in the past or in the future?

Our systematic review with meta-analysis will be conducted both according to MOOSE guidelines for reporting of meta-analysis of observational studies [7] adopting methodology from The Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [8]. We will include all observational studies examining the association between a total HDRS score and suicide attempts or suicides. We want to compare homogeneous patient groups and therefore we will therefore not include studies with a control group recruited from a different setting than the experimental group - no matter how well the groups are matched.

E.g., we will not include studies comparing outpatients with inpatients even if the groups are matched regarding age, sex, etc. We will not include studies examining the association between suicide impulses and HDRS, because suicide inclination is often assessed via item three on the HDRS or other continuous outcome scales [1,9,10]. We want to avoid assessing the validity of the HDRS via an item from the HDRS itself (item 3), or via another continuous outcome scale with a questionable validity. The studies will be included irrespective of language, publication status, publication year, and publication type — based on searches in The Cochrane Library's CENTRAL, MEDLINE, EMBASE, PsycInfo, and Science Citation Index Expanded. We will also search other relevant publications for references to relevant studies. The timeframe for the search will be all studies published before October 2011.

## Types of studies

We will include cohort studies, case-control studies, and cross-sectional studies — both prospective and retrospective. We will classify the studies in the three following categories in prioritized order, the last category below having the highest weight according to 'levels of evidence' [11]:

#### Prospective studies

Studies examining and reporting prospectively if a mean score on the HDRS
differed between depressive patients who, during a follow-up period, had a suicide
attempt or committed suicide.

#### Retrospective studies

- Studies examining and reporting retrospectively if a mean score on the HDRS
  differed between depressive patients with and without a lifetime history of a suicide
  attempt.
- Studies examining and reporting retrospectively if a mean score on the HDRS
  differed between depressive patients with and without a suicide attempt during the
  on-going depressive episode.

A high number of assessment tools exist to assess the risk of bias in observational studies [12]. All studies will be assessed, including the risk of bias, according to 'levels of evidence' [11] and the STROBE guidelines for reporting observational studies [12,13].

#### Selection of trials

JCJ will select relevant trials based on criteria described in the above. If it is doubtful if a study should be included JCJ and CG will decide through discussion. Excluded studies are entered on a list, stating the reason for exclusion.

#### **Data extraction**

The following data will be extracted from the included studies:

- 1. Date published.
- 2. Time frame of the study period.
- 3. Inclusion- and exclusion criteria.
- 4. Number of patients.
- 5. Distribution of age and sex.
- 6. Choice of outcome measure.

- 7. Outcome measures.
- 8. The choice of method and an evaluation of the quality of this choice of method.

#### **Outcomes and statistical methods**

Our only outcome measure will be the mean value of the HDRS [1] for patients with and without a suicide attempt regardless of the attempt being successful or not. The meta-analysis will be conducted according to the recommendations stated in The Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [8]. We will use the mean difference (MD) with a 95% confidence interval, and use RevMan version 5.0 for statistical calculations [14].

#### References

- 1. Hamilton M (1960) A rating scale for depression. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry 23: 56-61.
- 2. Bagby RM, Ryder AG, Schuller DR, Marshall MB (2004) The Hamilton Depression Rating Scale: has the gold standard become a lead weight? AmJPsychiatry 161: 2163-2177.
- 3. Jakobsen JC, Lindschou Hansen J, Simonsen E, Gluud C (2011) The effect of adding psychodynamic therapy to antidepressants in patients with major depressive disorder. A systematic review with meta-analyses and trial sequential analyses. Journal of Affective Disorders.
- 4. Jakobsen JC, Hansen JL, Simonsen E, Gluud C (2011) The effect of interpersonal psychotherapy and other psychodynamic therapies versus 'treatment as usual' in patients with major depressive disorder. PLoS ONE: Article Number: e19044.
- 5. Jakobsen JC, Lindschou Hansen J, Storebø OJ, Simonsen E, Gluud C (2011) The Effects of Cognitive Therapy Versus 'Treatment as Usual' in Patients with Major Depressive Disorder. PLoS ONE 6: e22890.
- 6. Kirsch I, Deacon BJ, Huedo-Medina TB, Scoboria A, Moore TJ, et al. (2008) Initial severity and antidepressant benefits: a meta-analysis of data submitted to the Food and Drug Administration. PLoS Medicine 5.
- 7. Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, Olkin I, Williamson GD, et al. (2000) Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) group. JAMA 283: 2008-2012.
- 8. Higgins JPT, Green S (2011) The Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions, Version 5.1.0 (updated March 2011). The Cochrane Collaboration Available from www.cochrane-handbookorg.
- 9. Pompili M, Baldessarini RJ, Tondo L, Innamorati M, Tatarelli R, et al. (2010/4) Response to intravenous antidepressant treatment by suicidal vs. nonsuicidal depressed patients. Journal of Affective Disorders 122: 154-158.
- 10. Petteri ST, Melartin TK, Rytsala HJ, U.S. L, Lestela-Mielonen PS, et al. (2003) Suicidal ideation and attempts among psychiatric patients with major depressive disorder. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry64 (9) (pp 1094-1100), 2003Date of Publication: 01 Sep 2003: 01-.
- 11. Phillips B, Ball C, Sackett D, Badenoch D, Straus S, et al. (1988) Levels of Evidence. Available at www.cebmnet/?0=1116 Updated March 2009.
- 12. Sanderson S, Tatt ID, Higgins JP (2007) Tools for assessing quality and susceptibility to bias in observational studies in epidemiology: a systematic review and annotated bibliography. Int J Epidemiol 36: 666-676.
- 13. Gallo V, Egger M, McCormack V, Farmer PB, Ioannidis JP, et al. (2011) STrengthening the Reporting of OBservational studies in Epidemiology Molecular Epidemiology (STROBE-ME): An Extension of the STROBE Statement. PLoS Med 8: e1001117.
- 14. The Nordic Cochrane Centre TCC (2008) Review Manager (RevMan) [Computer program]. Version 5.0.
- 15. Coryell W, Young EA (2005/4) Clinical predictors of suicide in primary major depressive disorder. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry 66: 412-417.
- 16. Holma KM, Melartin TK, Haukka J, Holma IAK, Sokero TP, et al. (2010) Incidence and predictors of suicide attempts in DSM-IV major depressive disorder: A five-year prospective study. American Journal of Psychiatry167 (7) (pp 801-808), 2010Date of Publication: July 2010: July-.

- 17. Vuorilehto MS, Melartin TK, Isometsa ET (2006) Suicidal behaviour among primary-care patients with depressive disorders. Psychological Medicine 36 (2) (pp 203-210), 2006 Date of Publication: Feb 2006: Feb-.
- 18. Chakraborty R, Chatterjee A (2007) Predictors of Suicide Attempt Among those with Depression in an Indian Sample: A Brief Report. The Internet Journal of Mental Health 4.
- 19. Perugi G, Musetti L, Pezzica P, Piagentini F (1988) Suicide attempts in primary major depressive subtypes. Psychiatria Fennica: 95-102.
- 20. Bulik CM, Carpenter LL, Kupfer DJ, Frank E (1990/1) Features associated with suicide attempts in recurrent major depression. Journal of Affective Disorders: 29-37.
- 21. Malone KM, Haas GL, Sweeney JA, Mann JJ (1995/6) Major depression and the risk of attempted suicide. Journal of Affective Disorders: 173-185.
- 22. Oquendo MA, Galfalvy H, Russo S, Ellis SP, Grunebaum MF, et al. (2004/8) Prospective study of clinical predictors of suicidal acts after a major depressive episode in patients with major depressive disorder or bipolar disorder. American Journal of Psychiatry 161: 1433-1441.
- 23. Schneider B, Philipp M, Muller MJ (2001) Psychopathological predictors of suicide in patients with major depression during a 5-year follow-up. Eur Psychiatry 16: 283-288.
- 24. Rosenberg R (2000) Outcome measures of antidepressive therapy. Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl 402: 41-44.
- 25. American Psychiatric A (1994) Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM IV). Washington, DC: Author.
- 26. Turpie AG (2006) Burden of disease: medical and economic impact of acute coronary syndromes. Am J Manag Care 12: S430-434.
- 27. Kendrick T, Peveler R (2010) Guidelines for the management of depression: NICE work? BrJ Psychiatry 197: 345-347.
- 28. Licht RW, Qvitzau S, Allerup P, Bech P (2005) Validation of the Bech-Rafaelsen Melancholia Scale and the Hamilton Depression Scale in patients with major depression; is the total score a valid measure of illness severity? Acta Psychiatr Scand 111: 144-149.